

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-5 and 9-24 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1-5 and 9-14 are amended. Furthermore, claims 6-8 are canceled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter and claims 15-24 are added. Reconsideration in view of the above amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested.

Entry of the amendments is proper under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 since the amendments:

(a) place the application in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein; (b) do not raise any new issue requiring further search and/or consideration since the amendments amplify issues previously discussed throughout prosecution; and (c) place the application in better form for appeal, should an appeal be necessary. The amendments are necessary and were not earlier presented because they are made in response to arguments raised in the final rejection. Entry of the amendments is thus respectfully requested.

The courtesies extended to Applicants' representative during the June 17 personal interview with Examiner Lindinger are gratefully appreciated.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-4 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being unpatentable over Baroche (U.S. Patent No. 6,464,390); claim 5 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Baroche in view of Pikula (U.S. Patent No. 6,269,055); claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Baroche in view of Yamada (U.S. Patent No. 5,475,653) and alleged admitted prior art; and claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Baroche in view of Okeya (U.S. Patent No. 6,424,600). Claims 6-8 are canceled. Thus, the rejections of these claims are moot. However, the rejections as applied to the remaining claims are traversed.

In particular, none of the applied references disclose or suggest a portable two-way wireless communications device, including at least a mechanical time-watch provided on an outer side of a first casing or a second casing when an operation section is opposite to a display section, and the

display section having a larger display area than a dial plate of the mechanical-time watch, as recited in the independent claim 1, and similarly recited in independent claim 2.

Furthermore, none of the applied references disclose or suggest a portable two-way wireless communications device, including at least a mechanical time-watch on a side of a casing facing an exterior when the casing is closed, and when the time of the mechanical time-watch is corrected, the time indicated on the display is automatically corrected in synchronization with the correcting action, as recited in independent claim 4, and similarly recited in independent claim 16.

Specifically, Baroche discloses that an electronic device can consist, for example, of a calculator, a telephone or any other means of radio-communication. See col. 2, lines 54-55.

Pikula discloses a radio-control clock movement for use in a radio-control clock which is synchronized with timing information supplied by a broadcast time signal.

Yamada discloses a paging receiver as part of an analog wristwatch. The analog wristwatch does not include a transmitter for transmitting information from the analog wristwatch.

Okeya discloses a portable electronic device that includes a power generating device that performs power generation by converting electrical energy.

In contrast to the claimed invention, none of the applied references disclose or suggest the display section having a larger display area than the dial plate of the mechanical-time watch. Baroche instead discloses in Fig. 1 and 2 that the display section with the display zones 11-13 does not have a larger display area than the dial plate of the watch. Furthermore, none of the applied references disclose or suggest that when the time of the mechanical time-watch is corrected, the time indicated on the display is automatically corrected in synchronization with the correcting action. On the contrary, nowhere in the applied references are these features disclosed or suggested.

Thus, Baroche fails to disclose each and every feature as the claimed invention. Furthermore, because the remaining applied references fail to compensate for deficiencies in

Baroche, it would not have been obvious to combine the applied references to arrive at the claimed invention. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) and 35 U.S.C. §103(a) be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-5 and 9-24 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,  
  
James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

Richard S. Elias  
Registration No. 48,806

JAO:RSE/eks

Attachment:  
Amendment Transmittal

Date: November 3, 2004

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 19928**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

**DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE  
AUTHORIZATION**  
Please grant any extension  
necessary for entry;  
Charge any fee due to our  
Deposit Account No. 15-0461